



Office of Institutional Research
and Strategic Analytics
California State University, Chico

PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL

Student Evaluation of Teaching Results - Spring 2026

Oleksiy Al-Saadi,

Enclosed you will find the results of the Spring 2026 Student Feedback on Teaching and Learning (SFOT) for your course:

2262_CSCI_490_01_1 - Capstone (2262_CSCI_490_01_1)

The SFOT form administered was SET_CSCI2.

In the analysis portion, the average values of all individual questions are listed.

Written comments (if any) will follow the individual question analysis.

If you have any further questions do not hesitate to contact the Office of Institutional Research.




Oleksiy Al-Saadi

Capstone (2262_CSCI_490_01_1) Spring 2026
No. of responses = 5

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention


1. Part A

1.1) The course was well organized.




n=5
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.55

1.2) The instructor was prepared for class.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.3) The instructor used class time effectively.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.4) The instructor presented in an understandable manner.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.5) The instructor displayed enthusiasm and interest in the course material.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.6) The instructor was helpful.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.7) The instructor responded to me in a timely manner.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.8) I received useful feedback on my work.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.9) The instructor was concerned with my progress.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.10) The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.11) The requirements of the assignments were clearly articulated.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.12) The assignments helped me understand the material.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.13) The criteria of grading were clearly articulated.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.14) Grading was completed in a reasonable amount of time.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.15) The lectures helped me to understand the material.




n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

1.16) The course increase my knowledge of the subject matter.



n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45


1.17) This course had the appropriate level of difficulty.



n=5
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.45

2. Part B


2.1) How do you rate the overall quality of teaching?




n=5
av.=4.4
md=5
dev.=0.89

Histogram for scaled questions


The course was well organized.




The instructor was prepared for class.




The instructor used class time effectively.




The instructor presented in an understandable manner.




The instructor displayed enthusiasm and interest in the course material.




The instructor was helpful.




The instructor responded to me in a timely manner.




I received useful feedback on my work.




The instructor was concerned with my progress.




The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.




The requirements of the assignments were clearly articulated.




The assignments helped me understand the material.




The criteria of grading were clearly articulated.




Grading was completed in a reasonable amount of time.




The lectures helped me to understand the material.




The course increase my knowledge of the subject matter.



This course had the appropriate level of difficulty.



How do you rate the overall quality of teaching?



Profile

Subunit: ECC - Computer Science
 Name of the instructor: Oleksiy Al-Saadi
 Name of the course: Capstone (2262_CSCI_490_01_1)
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Part A

1.1) The course was well organized.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.55
1.2) The instructor was prepared for class.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.3) The instructor used class time effectively.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.4) The instructor presented in an understandable manner.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.5) The instructor displayed enthusiasm and interest in the course material.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.6) The instructor was helpful.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.7) The instructor responded to me in a timely manner.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.8) I received useful feedback on my work.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.9) The instructor was concerned with my progress.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.10) The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.11) The requirements of the assignments were clearly articulated.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.12) The assignments helped me understand the material.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.13) The criteria of grading were clearly articulated.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.14) Grading was completed in a reasonable amount of time.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.15) The lectures helped me to understand the material.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.16) The course increase my knowledge of the subject matter.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45
1.17) This course had the appropriate level of difficulty.	SD						SA	n=5	av.=4.8	md=5	dev.=0.45

2. Part B

2.1) How do you rate the overall quality of teaching?	UN						S	n=5	av.=4.4	md=5	dev.=0.89
---	----	--	--	--	--	--	---	-----	---------	------	-----------

Comments Report

3. Part C

3.1) What did your instructor do to make this class a good learning experience?

- He's very knowledgeable.
- My instructor communicated effectively and encouraged me to build features. I appreciated that we agreed on features that I would go and try to make but if I was incapable of making a feature I could still get credit by showing the effort I made to implement the feature or to implement other features of similar complexity.
- The expectations were clearly communicated. The instructor made the process very easy and enjoyable as he worked with us and was paced at a rate that was sustainable.
- The instructor clearly wants students to succeed and is an extremely entertaining professor. One of the best i've had.
- Was willing to work with my schedule and allow me to complete and submit assignments to complete the course (within a reasonable time), very appreciated.

3.2) Please share any suggestions to improve this class in the future.

- Honestly I'd say the flexible structure of the class is what makes it so effective. Personally this allowed for Capstone to be one of my favorite courses I had taken because I wasn't railroaded by an assignments requirements and I was able to explore and build features I felt were necessary which made my capstone better.
- N/A
- None
- None, Oleksiy seems to have a great handle on the class.