



Office of Institutional Research
and Strategic Analytics
California State University, Chico

PRIVATE and CONFIDENTIAL

Student Evaluation of Teaching Results - Fall 2025

Oleksiy Al-Saadi,

Enclosed you will find the results of the Fall 2025 Student Feedback on Teaching and Learning (SFOT) for your course:

2258_CSCI_490_03_1 - Capstone

The SFOT form administered was SET_CSCI2.

In the analysis portion, the average values of all individual questions are listed.

Written comments (if any) will follow the individual question analysis.

If you have any further questions do not hesitate to contact the Office of Institutional Research.




Oleksiy Al-Saadi

Capstone (2258_CSCI_490_03_1) Fall 2025
No. of responses = 6

Survey Results

Legend


Question text



n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention


1. Part A

1.1) The course was well organized.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.2) The instructor was prepared for class.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.3) The instructor used class time effectively.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.4) The instructor presented in an understandable manner.




n=5
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.55
ab.=1

1.5) The instructor displayed enthusiasm and interest in the course material.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.6) The instructor was helpful.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.7) The instructor responded to me in a timely manner.




n=6
av.=4.83
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.8) I received useful feedback on my work.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.9) The instructor was concerned with my progress.




n=5
av.=4.2
md=4
dev.=0.84

1.10) The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.




n=6
av.=4.17
md=4.5
dev.=0.98

1.11) The requirements of the assignments were clearly articulated.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.12) The assignments helped me understand the material.




n=5
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.55
ab.=1

1.13) The criteria of grading were clearly articulated.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.14) Grading was completed in a reasonable amount of time.




n=6
av.=4.67
md=5
dev.=0.52

1.15) The lectures helped me to understand the material.




n=4
av.=4.5
md=4.5
dev.=0.58
ab.=2

1.16) The course increase my knowledge of the subject matter.



n=5
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.55
ab.=1


1.17) This course had the appropriate level of difficulty.



n=5
av.=4.6
md=5
dev.=0.55
ab.=1


2. Part B

2.1) How do you rate the overall quality of teaching?




Histogram for scaled questions


The course was well organized.




The instructor was prepared for class.




The instructor used class time effectively.




The instructor presented in an understandable manner.




The instructor displayed enthusiasm and interest in the course material.




The instructor was helpful.




The instructor responded to me in a timely manner.




I received useful feedback on my work.




The instructor was concerned with my progress.




The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.




The requirements of the assignments were clearly articulated.




The assignments helped me understand the material.




The criteria of grading were clearly articulated.




Grading was completed in a reasonable amount of time.




The lectures helped me to understand the material.




The course increase my knowledge of the subject matter.



This course had the appropriate level of difficulty.



How do you rate the overall quality of teaching?



Profile

Subunit: ECC - Computer Science
 Name of the instructor: Oleksiy Al-Saadi
 Name of the course: Capstone
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Part A

1.1) The course was well organized.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.2) The instructor was prepared for class.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.3) The instructor used class time effectively.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.4) The instructor presented in an understandable manner.	SD					SA	n=5	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.55
1.5) The instructor displayed enthusiasm and interest in the course material.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.6) The instructor was helpful.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.7) The instructor responded to me in a timely manner.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.83	md=5	dev.=0.41
1.8) I received useful feedback on my work.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.9) The instructor was concerned with my progress.	SD					SA	n=5	av.=4.2	md=4	dev.=0.84
1.10) The instructor encouraged student questions and participation.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.17	md=4.5	dev.=0.98
1.11) The requirements of the assignments were clearly articulated.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.12) The assignments helped me understand the material.	SD					SA	n=5	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.55
1.13) The criteria of grading were clearly articulated.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.14) Grading was completed in a reasonable amount of time.	SD					SA	n=6	av.=4.67	md=5	dev.=0.52
1.15) The lectures helped me to understand the material.	SD					SA	n=4	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.58
1.16) The course increase my knowledge of the subject matter.	SD					SA	n=5	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.55
1.17) This course had the appropriate level of difficulty.	SD					SA	n=5	av.=4.6	md=5	dev.=0.55

2. Part B

2.1) How do you rate the overall quality of teaching?	UN					S	n=4	av.=4.5	md=4.5	dev.=0.58
---	----	--	--	--	--	---	-----	---------	--------	-----------

Comments Report

3. Part C

^{3.1)} What did your instructor do to make this class a good learning experience?

- everything was great
- He pushed students to add more to their Capstone.
- He was really straight forward and didn't waste time during our capstone meetings.
- The instructor provided useful feedback each time I met with him to discuss my capstone project. He was also very understanding of outside situations that could affect students progress in the class.
- There wasn't much he had to do. Gave us tasks, gave guidance, and showed up on time.

^{3.2)} Please share any suggestions to improve this class in the future.

- None.
- nothing
- Not much