

- I. Changing Face of Computing and the Task of the Computer Designer
 - a. Desktop Computing
 - i. Optimize price-performance
 - b. Servers (Web)
 - i. Availability
 - ii. Scalability
 - iii. Throughput
 - c. Embedded Computers (fastest growing portion of computer market)
 - i. Price!
 - ii. Minimize memory
 - iii. Minimize power
- II. Architecture
 - a. Instruction set architecture
 - b. Organization-high level aspects of a computer's design
 - i. Memory system
 - ii. Bus structure
 - iii. CPU design

Note: Pentium II and Celeron are nearly identical: different clock rates and memory systems
- III. Technology Trends
 - a. Integrated circuit logic technology
 - i. Transistor count growth 55% per year
 - ii. Dram density: 40-60%/year
 - 1. Cycle time improving slowly
 - 2. Bandwidth improvement 2X latency
 - iii. Magnetic Disk Density 100%/year
 - 1. Access time only 1/3 in 10 years
 - iv. Network bandwidth doubling every year
 - b. Processor lifetime ~5 years
 - i. 2 years in development
 - ii. 3 years in production
 - c. Cost decrease about rate that density increases
- IV. Scaling of Transistor Performance, Wires, and Power in IC's
 - a. Feature size: 10μ 1971 to 0.18μ in 2001
 - i. Performance increases linearly with feature size
 - ii. Improved density yielded
 - 1. Increased bus size
 - 2. New features such as on-board cache
 - iii. Density increases quadratically with decreasing feature size
 - iv. Wires get worse with decreased size
 - 1. Copper→One-time improvement in delay
 - 2. Wire delay greater limitation than transistor delay
 - a. Pentium 4 allocated 2 stages of pipeline for wire delay
 - b. Power
 - i. May become greater limitation than transistor count

- ii. First μ Processors: .1 Watts
 - Pentium 4: 100 Watts
- V. Cost Price and Their Trends
 - a. Impact of Time, Volume, Commodity
 - i. Figure 1.5: Learning Curve (measured by change in yield)
 - Cost of DRAM will drop by a factor of 5 during 2 year development
 - ii. Volume: Cost decreases 10% for each doubling of volume
 - iii. Commodity (Products sold by multiple vendors in large volumes)
 - iv. Competition decreases the gap between cost and selling price
 - b. Cost of an integrated circuit
 - i. Becoming a greater percentage of system cost
 - ii. Cost has decreased exponentially, but basic process unchanged
 - iii. Sole factor in designer's control: die size
 - 1. Cost of die grows with fourth power of die size
 - a. Exclude functions, I/O pins
 - 2. Masks may exceed \$1 Million; Small volume can be significant part of production cost!
 - c. Distribution of cost in a system
 - i. Dram memory 1/3 total cost
 - ii. Monitor 1/3 total cost
 - d. Cost versus price
 - i. Must understand to know impact of deleting components
 - ii. Gross margin: can't be billed to one product
 - 1. Marketing, sales tax, R&D cost, mfg. equip. repair, building rental, financing cost, pretax profit, tax
 - iii. Direct costs + gross margin + component costs = selling price
 - iv. Gross margin increases with uniqueness (usually 10-45%)
 - v. List price – discount = average selling price
 - vi. 4-12% of company income spent on research
 - 1. Companies with 15-20% rarely prosper
 - vii. Large expensive machines
 - 1. cost more to develop
 - 2. less sold
 - 3. need greater gross margin
 - e. High performance design may have price as no object!!
 - f. PC market is cost-performance design
 - g. Embedded market has low-cost design
- VI. Measuring and Reporting Performance
 - a. Possible measures
 - i. Response time: start to completion time
 - ii. Throughput: total amount of work done in given period
 - iii. Only consistent and reliable measure is execution time of real programs!!